



Docket No.: 826.1590

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Jun KOGURE

Serial No. 09/503,205

Group Art Unit: 2135

Confirmation No. 6229

Filed: February 14, 2000

Examiner: Klimach, Paula W.

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR GENERATING EXPRESSIONG DATA FOR FINITE
FIELD OPERATION

**LETTER TO THE EXAMINER REQUESTING WITHDRAWAL OF CURRENT OFFICE ACTION
AS INCOMPLETE**

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: BOX AF

Sir:

Applicant respectfully submits that the Office Action mailed May 19, 2005 (current Action) is incomplete. As set forth in MPEP §707.07(f) entitled Answer All Material Traversed "an examiner must provide clear explanations of all actions taken by the examiner during prosecution of an application."

An Office Action mailed December 4, 2003 (Action 1) rejected claims 3-7. In response, Applicant filed an Amendment April 5, 2004 (Amendment 1) traversing the rejections. An Office Action mailed August 12, 2004 (Action 2) did not reply to these arguments and further applied the contentions in the rejection of claims 13-15 and 18-19.

An Amendment filed December 13, 2004 (Amendment 2) pointed out the same to the Examiner and requested that Action 2 be withdrawn as incomplete.

In the Advisory Action mailed January 28, 2005, the Examiner only indicated that the finality of Action 2 was proper because of amendment of claims in Amendment 1, but did not address Applicant's arguments regarding Action 2 as incomplete. An RCE was filed for entry of Amendment 2 on February 12, 2005.

The current Action still does not address the Applicant's arguments filed in Amendment 1 and repeated in Amendment 2.

Further, the current Action does not address many of the other arguments filed in Amendment 2, but merely repeats the Examiner's contentions from Action 2 in support of the rejections. In the section entitled Response to Arguments, the Examiner merely states that Applicant's arguments have been "fully considered."

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejections of the present Office Action be withdrawn and the case recommended for allowance or at least that the present Office Action be withdrawn and replaced with another nonfinal Office Action in which the Examiner provides a complete response to the Applicant's arguments and with the response date accordingly reset.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: July 22, 2005

By: Paul W. Bobowec
Paul W. Bobowec
Registration No. 47,431

1201 New York Ave, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501